UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	DOC #: DATE FILED: 9//9/
IN RE METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL ETHER PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: : : X	Master File No. 1:00-1898 MDL 1358 (SAS), M21-88
This document relates to:	:	
State of New Mexico v. Amerada Hess Corporation, et al. Case No. 06-CV-380	; ; : :	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
	·	

USDC SDNY

The Plaintiff and those Settling Defendants that are parties in this action as set forth on the attached Exhibit A have advised the Court that they have resolved the matters between them pursuant to the Settlement Agreement<sup>1</sup>, and Plaintiff has now moved without opposition for the entry of this agreed upon dismissal of all claims of any kind pending against the Settling

In Re: Methyl Defendants with prejudice. The Court indes that the motion for dismissal of Settling Defendants with prejudice from this action should be granted and that:

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- The Plaintiff and Settling Defendants have advised the Court that they have resolved the matters between them pursuant to a Settlement Agreement and a release.
- Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the settling parties consent to the dismissal with prejudice of Settling Defendants only from this action.

Doc. 2007

The Settlement Agreement dated as of March 12, 2008 ("Settlement Agreement") was filed with this Court on May 7, 2008 in connection with a motion for good faith determination under California and Illinois law in certain other cases. This motion was granted and a judgment entered. See Amended Opinion and Order at 2008 WL 2944653 (July 30, 2008). The term "Settling Defendants" used herein is as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

- 3. No other parties have objected to the dismissal of the above entitled action with prejudice as to the Settling Defendants only.
- 4. Therefore, this action, including all claims, counterclaims, and cross-claims of any kind, is hereby dismissed with prejudice only as to those Settling Defendants that have been named or have appeared in the above captioned action, including those identified on the attached Exhibit A, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

ENTERED this Aday of September, 2008:

Honorable Shira A. Scheinellin

## **EXHIBIT A**

The Settling Defendants below are named defendants in the case of *State of New Mexico v. Amerada Hess Corporation, et al.* Case No. 06-CV-380 and are listed as they appear in the caption of Plaintiffs' complaint (even if erroneously identified or no longer in existence).

- 1. Atlantic Richfield Company, individually and d/b/a ARCO Products Company (f/k/a ARCO Petroleum Co.), and d/b/a ARCO
- 2. BP Products North America, Inc., individually and f/k/a Amoco Oil Company
- 3. Chevron Phillips Chemical Company, LLC
- 4. Chevron U.S.A. Inc., individually and f/k/a Gulf Oil Corporation (d/b/a Chevron Products Company, d/b/a Chevron Chemical Company)
- 5. CITGO Petroleum Corporation
- 6. CITGO Refining and Chemical Company, LP
- 7. ConocoPhillips Company, individually and as successor-in-interest to Conoco Inc. and Phillips Petroleum Company, and Tosco Corporation, and d/b/a Phillips 66 Company
- 8. Diamond Shamrock Refining & Marketing Co.
- 9. El Paso Merchant Energy Petroleum Company, individually and formerly known as Coastal Refining and Marketing, Inc. and formerly known as Coastal States Trading, Inc.
- 10. Equilon Enterprises, LLC, d/b/a Shell Oil Products US
- 11. Flint Hills Resources, L.P., f/k/a Koch Petroleum Group, LP, Koch Refining Company, LP, and Koch Refining Company, Inc.
- 12. Lyondell-CITGO Refining, L.P., individually and f/k/a Lyondell-CITGO Refining Company, Ltd.
- 13. Motiva Enterprises, LLC, individually and as successor-in-interest to Star Enterprise
- 14. PDV Midwest Refining, LLC
- 15. The Premcor Refining Group, Inc. (f/k/a Clark Oil & Refining Corporation), individually and as successor-by-merger to The Premcor P.A. Pipeline Company, f/k/a Clark Port Arthur Pipeline Co.
- 16. Shell Oil Company
- 17. Shell Oil Products Company, LLC, f/k/a and d/b/a Shell Oil Products Company
- 18. Shell Petroleum, Inc.
- 19. Shell Trading (US) Company, individually and f/k/a Equiva Trading Company, and a/k/a STUSCO
- 20. Sunoco, Inc., individually and f/k/a Sun Oil Company, and f/k/a Sun Company, Inc., and as successor-in-interest to Coastal Eagle Point Oil Company
- 21. Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), individually and f/k/a Sun Refining and Marketing Company and f/k/a Sun Company Inc. (R&M)
- 22. Texaco Inc.
- 23. TMR Company, f/k/a Texaco Refining and Marketing, Inc. and as successor-by-merger to TRME Company (f/k/a Texaco Refining and Marketing (East), Inc.))
- 24. TPI Petroleum, Inc.
- 25. TRMI Holdings, Inc., individually and as successor-in-interest to Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc.
- 26. Union Oil Company of California d/b/a UNOCAL
- 27. Unocal Corporation, individually and f/k/a Union Oil Company of California

- 28. Valero Energy Corporation29. Valero Marketing and Supply Company30. Valero Refining Company31. Valero Refining and Marketing Company